

**Remarks:**

Status of Claims


Claims 32-37 were previously pending. Claims 38-43 are newly added herein. Thus, claims 32-43 remain pending with claims 32, 37, and 38 being independent.

Office Action

In the February 25, 2008, Office Action, the Examiner withdrew the allowance of claims 32-37; rejected claims 32-34 and 36 as being anticipated by Girard (US 5,513,068); and rejected claims 35 and 37 as being obvious in view of Girard and Moss (US 6,144,549).

***A second mounting frame operable to be at least partially inserted within a first mounting frame***

Each of the independent claims now recites a “second mounting frame operable to be at least partially inserted within the first mounting frame to secure an electronic module at least partially within the first mounting frame.” This feature is discussed at least within pages 7-9 of the present application and illustrated within the figures. FIGS. 1C and 1D are reproduced below, with annotations, for the Examiner’s reference.



**FIG. 1C**




FIG. 1D

No combination of the Examiner's references discloses or suggests a second mounting frame that may be partially inserted into a first mounting frame to secure an electronic module at least partially within the first mounting frame. The Examiner asserts that Girard discloses a first mounting frame 46 and a second mounting frame 60 (Office Action, ¶ 4). These alleged mounting frames are illustrated in Girard, FIG. 4:




FIG. 4

As is readily apparent from the above figure, Girard's "second mounting frame 60" is actually a conventional "plastic cover panel 60" that can cover the "first mounting frame 46" (drive housing 46) to provide access to drives mounted within the drive housing 46 (col. 3, ll. 46-58). As a conventional plastic cover panel, the plastic cover panel 60 is not operable to be at least partially inserted into the drive housing 46 to secure an electronic module therein. Thus, Girard cannot anticipate the currently pending independent claims.

Further, the combination of Girard and Moss does not render the currently pending independent claims obvious. Moss, arranged in any combination with Girard, does not provide a second mounting frame that may be partially inserted into a first mounting frame to secure an electronic module therein.

***A second mounting frame operable to be at least partially inserted within the first mounting frame such that the secured electronic module is at least partially covered by the display unit***

Independent claims 37 and 38 now recite that the second mounting frame is operable to secure the electronic module such that the module is at least partially covered by the display unit. This feature is illustrated in FIG. 1D, reproduced on the preceding page. No combination of the Examiner's references discloses or suggests this feature.

Girard does not disclose or suggest a display unit (Office Action, ¶ 4). As noted by the Examiner, Moss does disclose a display unit that is located in front of a mounting frame. However, Moss's display unit 240 is not operable to be secured to a *first* mounting frame such that it at least partially covers an electronic module secured by a *second* mounting frame within the *first* mounting frame. In fact, as is illustrated by Moss, FIG. 3, the configuration provided by the combination of Girard and Moss prohibits the formation of the structure recited in claims 37 and 38:




FIG. 3

As illustrated above, the combination of Girard and Moss does not result in a “second mounting frame” that secures an electronic module within a first mounting frame or a display unit that at least partially covers the electronic module secured by the second mounting frame within the first mounting frame. Thus, the combination of Girard and Moss does not render obvious any of the currently pending claims.

#### Conclusion

In view of the forgoing, Applicant respectfully submits that all claims are now in a condition for allowance. Any additional fee which is due in connection with this Amendment should be applied against our Deposit Account No. 501-791.

Application No. 10/086,483  
Amendment dated April 3, 2008  
Reply to Office Action of February 25, 2008

Respectfully submitted,  
By:  
/Samuel M. Korte/  
Samuel M. Korte, Reg. No. 56,557  
Garmin International, Inc.  
1200 East 151<sup>st</sup> Street  
Olathe, KS 66062  
(913) 440-5421